



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,016	12/02/2003	Serguei M. Belousov	2230.0020000/MBR/GSB	3183
54089 7590 02/20/2008 BARDMESSER LAW GROUP, P.C. 910 17TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER NGUYEN, PHILLIP H	
			ART UNIT 2191	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/20/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

AK

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/725,016	BELOUSSOV ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip H. Nguyen	2191	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Phillip H. Nguyen. (3) Bardmesser George (Reg No 44,020).  
 (2) Wei Zhen. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the difference between the applicant's invention and Duesterwald, specially about the atomic write and masking/unmasking of interrupts. Further discussed about 101 and how to overcome the 101 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



WEI ZHEN  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Beloussov *et al.*

Appl. No.: 10/725,016

Filed: December 2, 2003

For: **System, Method and Computer  
Program Product for On-The-Fly  
Patching of Executable Code**

Confirmation No.: 3183

Art Unit: 2194

Examiner: NGUYEN, PHILLIP H.

Atty. Docket: 2230.0020000/MBR/GSB

**Agenda for Interview**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

As requested by the Examiner, Applicants submit the following agenda for the interview at the USPTO on February 5, 2008:

(A) Distinctions between the claimed counting of instructions that have been executed as of any particular moment and Duesterwald, (U.S. Patent Publication No.: US 2003/0101330 A1), which counts the total number of times a group of instructions has been executed;

(B) Distinctions between the claimed masking of interrupts (e.g., claim 3) and the system calls in Duesterwald, which cannot be used to disable interrupts;

(C) Distinctions between the claimed atomic writes (e.g., claim 23) and Duesterwald;

(D) Section 101 rejections of claims 67 and 68, and language acceptable to the Examiner to overcome these rejections.

- 2 -

Beloussov *et al.*  
Appl. No. 10/725,016

Respectfully submitted,

BARDMESSER LAW GROUP

/GB/

George S. Bardmesser  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 44,020

Date: January 29, 2008

910 17<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.  
Suite 800  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
(202) 293-1191

Atty. Dkt. No. 2230.0020000/MBR/GSB

**FAX COVER SHEET**

TO	PHILLIP H. Examiner NGUYEN
COMPANY	USPTO
FAX NUMBER	15712702070
FROM	George Bardmesser
DATE	2008-01-29 18:24:50 GMT
RE	Appl. No.: 10/725,016 Agenda for interview

**COVER MESSAGE**

In re application of: Beloussov et al.  
Art Unit: 2194  
Appl. No.: 10/725,016  
Examiner: NGUYEN, PHILLIP H.  
Filed: December 2, 2003  
Atty. Docket: 2230.0020000/MBR/GSB  
For: System, Method and Computer Program Product for  
On-The-Fly Patching of Executable Code